APPENDIX C

ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATIONAL EFFICACY OF THE SADIS BROADCAST 2003-2004

1.	State:						
2.	Number	of SADIS VSATs installed and operational in	n your State?				
3.	Location	n of SADIS VSATs in your State?					
4.		assessment of the SADIS broadcast during the propriate bracket)	e period April 2003 to March 2004				
	a)	signal quality (reception)					
		no problems encountered problems encountered (as specified below)	[]				
	Rei	narks:					
	b)	data/product availability at the VSAT re processing/display equipment and associated i) WAFS products in T4 facsimile format	ceiver (i.e., excluding the performance of user l software)				
		good average poor	[] []				
	Rei	marks:					
		ii) WAFS global upper-air wind/temperature/humidity bulletins in the GRIB code					
		good average poor	[] [] []				
	Rei	narks:					
		iii) WAFS SIGWX bulletins in the BUFR of	code form				
		good average poor	[] []				

Do you consider that the administrative messages broadcast on SADIS were sufficie you advised of the broadcast status? yes []		iv) OPMI	ET message information (MET	AR, TAF, SIGMET etc.)
c) administration (service) messages Do you consider that the administrative messages broadcast on SADIS were sufficie you advised of the broadcast status? yes [] no [] Remarks: a) Overall assessment of the reliability of VSAT receiving equipment (i.e. excluding user processing and display equipment and associated software) good [] average [] poor [] Remarks: b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the service provider of the repairs.			average	[]
Do you consider that the administrative messages broadcast on SADIS were sufficie you advised of the broadcast status? yes [] no [] Remarks: a) Overall assessment of the reliability of VSAT receiving equipment (i.e. excluding user processing and display equipment and associated software) good [] average [] poor [] Remarks: b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the service provider of the repairs.	Rema	arks:		
yes [] Remarks: yes	c)	administra	tion (service) messages	
a) Overall assessment of the reliability of VSAT receiving equipment (i.e. excluding user processing and display equipment and associated software) good [] average [] poor [] Remarks: b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the service provider of the repairs.			nessages broadcast on SADIS were sufficient	
a) Overall assessment of the reliability of VSAT receiving equipment (i.e. excluding user processing and display equipment and associated software) good [] average [] poor [] Remarks: b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the service provider.			•	
(i.e. excluding user processing and display equipment and associated software) good [] average [] poor [] Remarks: b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the service provider.	Remo	arks:		
b) If faults developed in the VSAT receiving equipment, were these faults repaired by: i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the response o	-			
 i) local technicians¹ or [] ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the r			good average	equipment and associated software)
ii) shipping the unit back to the service provider [] If you ticked i) above, indicate the nature of the repairs. If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of the		(i.e. exclud	good average	equipment and associated software)
If you ticked ii) above, were any difficulties encountered regarding the response of t	Remo	(i.e. exclud	ding user processing and display good average poor	y equipment and associated software) [] [] []
	Remo	(i.e. excludarks: If faults de	good average poor eveloped in the VSAT receiving local technicians ¹ or shipping the unit back	y equipment and associated software) [] [] [] g equipment, were these faults repaired by:
r	Remo	(i.e. excludants: arks: If faults de i) ii)	good average poor eveloped in the VSAT receiving local technicians ¹ or shipping the unit back to the service provider	y equipment and associated software) [] [] [] g equipment, were these faults repaired by: [] []

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Users}$ should ensure that repair by local technicians does not infringe warranty of the equipment.

]		
]		
If "yes", was the technical support provided satisfactory?		
]		